

BORA LASKIN LAW LIBRARY



3 1761 04854 9604

**CASEBOOK
FOR
COMPETITION POLICY**

PROFESSORS RALPH WINTER AND EDWARD IACOBUCCI

2000/2001

University of Toronto

Faculty of Law

**NOTE: THESE MATERIALS ARE NOT TO BE CONSIDERED PUBLISHED, AND
THIS COMPILATION IS INTENDED SOLELY FOR TEACHING PURPOSES**

BORA LASKIN LAW LIBRARY

AUG 17 2000

FACULTY OF LAW
UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

**CASEBOOK
FOR
COMPETITION POLICY**

PROFESSORS RALPH WINTER AND EDWARD IACOBUCCI

2000/2001

University of Toronto

Faculty of Law

**NOTE: THESE MATERIALS ARE NOT TO BE CONSIDERED PUBLISHED, AND
THIS COMPILATION IS INTENDED SOLELY FOR TEACHING PURPOSES**



Digitized by the Internet Archive
in 2018 with funding from
University of Toronto

<https://archive.org/details/casebookforcompe00wint>

COMPETITION POLICY: CASES

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Useful Websites (as of July 1, 1999):

Canadian Competition Bureau Website: <http://strategis.ic.gc.ca/SSG/ct01250e.html>

Canadian Competition Policy Page: http://csqb.ubc.ca/ccpp/frame_1.htm

U.S. Department of Justice, Antitrust Division: <http://usdoj.gov/atr/index.html>

European Directorate General IV: http://europa.eu.int/comm/dg04/index_en.htm

I) INTRODUCTION

Nancy Gallini, Michael Trebilcock and Edward Iacobucci, "A Comparative Overview of the Evolution of Competition Policy" (1994) (unpublished).....I-1

II) AGREEMENTS AMONG COMPETITORS I

Atlantic Sugar Refineries Co. Ltd. et al. v. A.G. Can., (1980) 54 C.C.C. (2d) 373 (S.C.C.).....II-1

Imperial Chemical Industries Ltd. v. Commission of the European Communities
European Court of Justice, [1972] ECR 619, [1972] CMLR 557.....II-11

Interstate Circuit Inc. et al. v. United States, 306 U.S. 208, (1938).....II-21

III) AGREEMENTS AMONG COMPETITORS II

R. v. Nova Scotia Pharmaceutical Society (1993) 49 C.P.R. (3d) 289 (N.S.S.C.).....III-1

Broadcast Music, Inc. v. Columbia Broadcasting System, 55 F.R.D. 292 (1972, U.S. Dist.)III-23

United States v. Sealy Inc. 388 U.S. 350 (1967), as edited in Breit, W. and K. Elizinga (eds.), The Antitrust Casebook: Milestones in Economic Regulation (Dryden Press, 1982)III-29

Transocean Marine Paint Association, Commission Decision 67/454, O.J.L 163/10 (July 20, 1967)III-31

ACF Chemiefarma v. Commission, Case 41/69 [1970] ECR 661III-36

Hartford Fire Insurance Co. v. State of California, 509 U.S. 764 (1993).....III-45

IV) MERGERS I

<u>United States v. E.I. duPont de Nemours and Company</u> , 351 U.S. 377 (1956)	IV-1
<u>Canada (Director of Investigations & Research) v. Southam Inc.</u> , [1997] 1 S.C.R. 748	IV-11
<u>Staples, Inc. v. Federal Trade Commission</u> , 970 F.S. 1066 (D.D.C. 1997)	IV-25

V) MERGERS II

<u>Brown Shoe Co. v. United States</u> , 365 U.S. 825 (1961)	V-1
<u>United States v. General Dynamics Corp. et al.</u> , 415 U.S. 486 (1974)	V-8
<u>United States v. Baker Hughes Inc., Eimco Secoma, S.A. and Oy Tampella AB</u> , 731 F.S. 3 (1990), affirmed 908 F.2d 981	V-18
<u>Mannesmann/Vallourec/Ilva</u> , OJ No L 102/15 (1994)	V-28
<u>Canada (Director of Investigation and Research) v. Hillsdown Holdings (Canada) Ltd.</u> , (1992) 41 C.P.R. (3d) 289	V-37
<u>Aerospatiale-Alenia/De Havilland</u> , Commission Decision 91/619, O.J.L. 334/42 (Dec. 5, 1991)	V-48
<u>Boeing Company/McDonnell Douglas Corporation</u> , FTC (No. 971-0051)	V-54
“Commission Clears the Merger Between Boeing and McDonnell Douglas Under Conditions and Obligations”, European Commission, July 1997 (Press Release)	V-59

VI) PREDATORY PRICING

<u>R. v. Hoffman-La Roche Limited</u> , (1980) 28 O.R. (2d) 164	VI-1
<u>Brooke Group Ltd. v. Brown & Williamson Tobacco Corp.</u> , 509 U.S. 209 (1993)	VI-18
<u>Akzo Chemie BV v. EC Commission</u> , [1993] 5 CMLR 215	VI-31

VII) PRICE DISCRIMINATION

Utah Pie Company v. Continental Banking Company et al. 87 S. Ct. 126 (1967)VII-1

Federal Trade Commission v. Morton Salt Co., 334 U.S. 37 (1948)VII-9

VIII) VERTICAL RESTRAINTS I

Resale Price Maintenance

R. v. H.D. Lee, (1980) 57 C.P.R. (2d) 186 (1980)VIII-1

Exclusive Territories

Consten and Grundig v. Commission Case 56, 58/64 [1966] E.C.R. 299VIII-12

IX) VERTICAL RESTRAINTS II

Exclusivity

Director of Investigation and Research v. Bombardier Ltd. (1980)
53 C.P.R. (2d) 47IX-1

Tampa Electric Co. v. Nashville Coal Co. et al., 365 U.S. 320 (1960)IX-15

Canada (Director Investigations & Research) v. D & B Companies of Canada,
64 C.P.R. (3d) 216IX-21

Tying

R.T.P.C., D.I.R. v. BBM Bureau of Management, (1981) 60 C.P.R. (2d) 26IX-57

X) ABUSE OF DOMINANCE

Canada (Director of Investigation & Research) v. Nutrasweet Co., 32 C.P.R. (3d) 1
(1990)X-1

U.S. v. Microsoft Corporation, [2000] 87 F.Supp.2d 30.....X-20

For more on U.S. v. Microsoft, see: http://www.usdoj.gov/atr/cases/ms_index.htm

United States v. Grinnell Corp., 384 U.S. 563 (1966)X-30

United Brands v. The Commission, Case 27/76, [1987] E.C.R. 349,
[1978] C.M.L.R. 83X-38

